

If you have guessed the identity of the Author, and there is a conflict of interest*, and therefore you cannot accept the task of preparing the review, [reject the review invitation](#).

* The conflict of interest shall be deemed to take place between the Reviewer and the Author if there exists:

- direct personal relationship (kinship, legal relations, conflict etc.),
- relationship of professional subordination,
- direct scientific cooperation in the past two years prior to the preparation of the review.

1. Overall publication value of the article

Are the title, abstract and key words clearly presented?

Yes No

Have the introduction and objective of the article been clearly presented?

Yes No

2. Introduction

Has the present state of knowledge been sufficiently presented?

Yes No

3. Material and Methods

Has the research material clearly been presented?

Yes No

Have proper methods been used?

Yes No

4. Results

Are the results of the study correctly presented and interpreted?

Yes No

5. Conclusions

Do the results justify the conclusions?

Yes No

6. Graphics

Are the tables clear enough? If NO, which tables should be re-edited or deleted?

Yes No

Are the figures clear enough? If NO, which figures should be re-edited or deleted?

Yes No

7. References

Are the most relevant reports cited?

- Yes No

8. Reviewer recommendation

What is the significance of the article for the discipline(s) represented by the journal?

9. Reviewer conclusion

- accept without changes
- accept after changes suggested by reviewer
- rate manuscript once again after major changes and another review
- reject

10. Information for Editors (not visible for authors)

11. Information for Authors

Attachment (*optional*)

Optional attachment (DOCX, PDF or ODT) with full text amendments.